Dual Language Learners (DLLs) are young children who have at least one parent who speaks a language other than English in the home. In Michigan, one-sixth (16 percent) of children ages 0 to 5—approximately 107,000 young children—are DLLs. These children possess many important strengths, including their home language skills and cultural assets.

Extensive research has demonstrated that high-quality early childhood education and care (ECEC) services provide disproportionate benefits for DLLs, including in terms of their language development and future academic outcomes. However, available data also show that DLLs enroll in such programs at lower rates than other young children. This points to a need to address barriers that may prevent DLLs’ families from accessing these programs as well as to ensure the relevance and quality of ECEC services for this population.

This fact sheet highlights important characteristics of DLLs’ families in Michigan that should be considered in ECEC program and policy design and implementation. This information is based on Migration Policy Institute (MPI) analysis of data from the U.S. Census Bureau’s American Community Survey (ACS) for the 2015–19 period, pooled. This fact sheet accompanies a policy brief entitled Overlooked but Essential: Language Access in Early Childhood Programs, which looks at the characteristics of DLLs’ families nationwide, federal and state language access policies in the early childhood field, and opportunities to improve language access to boost DLLs’ participation in high-quality ECEC services.

Language barriers and efforts to overcome them are likely to play a significant role in DLL families’ access to early childhood services.

- Out of the 107,000 DLL children living in Michigan in 2015–19, 44 percent had at least one Limited English Proficient (LEP) parent, meaning the parent reported speaking English less than “very well” in the ACS (either “well,” “not well,” or “not at all”). Either one or both parents for approximately 5,000 of these DLLs reported speaking English “not at all.”

- Nearly one-fifth (18 percent) of DLLs in the state lived in linguistically isolated households, which the U.S. Census Bureau defines as households in which all members who are age 14 or older speak a non-English language and also speak English less than “very well” (that is, they are LEP).
DLLs in Michigan come from families who speak a wide range of languages. In 2015–19, while 28 percent of these families reported speaking Spanish in the home, approximately 72 percent spoke languages other than either English or Spanish—including, but not limited to, Arabic, German, Near East Arabic dialects, Chinese, Bengali, Albanian, Hindi, French, and Telugu (see Figure 1). This information should inform the design of comprehensive language access services.5

**FIGURE 1**
Top Non-English Languages Spoken in DLLs’ Households in Michigan, 2015–19

- Spanish: 29,000 Households
- Arabic: 20,000 Households
- German: 4,000 Households
- Near East Arabic Dialects: 3,000 Households
- Chinese: 3,000 Households
- Bengali: 3,000 Households
- Albanian: 2,000 Households
- Hindi: 2,000 Households
- French: 1,000 Households
- Telugu: 1,000 Households
- Other: 39,000 Households

Notes: Languages spoken are self-reported in the American Community Survey (ACS). Shares may not add up to 100 percent due to rounding. “Chinese” includes Mandarin, Cantonese, and other Chinese languages. “French” includes French, Patois, and Cajun. “German” includes German, Pennsylvania Dutch, and Swiss. “Near East Arabic dialects” include Syriac, Aramaic, and Chaldean.
Source: Migration Policy Institute (MPI) tabulation of data from the U.S. Census Bureau’s 2015–19 ACS, pooled.

DLLs are more likely to live in low-income households than their peers, making them important targets for early childhood services.

- In Michigan, DLL children were more likely than non-DLL children (51 percent vs. 45 percent) to reside in low-income households in 2015–19 (see Figure 2). These are households with an annual income of below 200 percent of the federal poverty level.
- Although DLLs comprised 16 percent of children ages 0 to 5 in the state, they represented 18 percent of all young children living in low-income households.
DLLs are more likely than other young children to have parents with lower levels of formal education.

► In 2015–19, DLLs were nearly three times as likely as non-DLL children (29 percent vs. 10 percent, respectively) to have at least one parent whose highest level of education was less than a high school diploma or equivalent (see Figure 3). Decades of research have demonstrated the correlation between parental educational attainment and a child’s academic achievement and well-being, pointing to the importance of ECEC program participation especially for children whose parents have limited formal education to mitigate related risks for long-term success.6

► While DLLs comprised 16 percent of children ages 0 to 5 in Michigan, they represented 36 percent of all children of this age with at least one parent whose highest level of education was less than a high school diploma or equivalent.
Limited access to the internet and digital devices is another significant barrier that can keep some DLLs’ families from accessing early childhood services.

- DLL children were slightly more likely than non-DLLs to live in a household with no access to the internet (9 percent vs. 7 percent), and as likely to have no access to a computer or laptop at home (see Figure 4). In a household with limited digital access, caregivers may find it difficult to connect with early childhood programs and other resources, and children themselves are at an educational disadvantage compared to peers.7

- In 2015–19, DLLs made up 19 percent of all Michigan children ages 0 to 5 whose households had no access to the internet, a slightly larger share than the 16 percent that DLLs comprise of the state’s children in this age range.

**FIGURE 4**

*Internet and Computer/Laptop Access in the Households of Michigan Children Ages 0 to 5, by DLL Status, 2015–19*

Source: MPI tabulation of data from the U.S. Census Bureau’s 2015–19 ACS, pooled.
Endnotes


4 Data on linguistically isolated households exclude individuals living in group quarters.
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